

FROBENIUS INVERSE IMAGE OF THE SEMI-STABLE BOUNDARY IN THE MODULI SPACE OF VECTOR BUNDLES

LAURENT DUCROHET

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a genus $g \geq 2$ proper and smooth curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \neq 2$. We let J_X denote the Jacobian of X . We choose once for all a theta characteristic κ_0 over X and we let Θ denote the corresponding symmetric principal divisor on J_X representing the canonical polarization of J_X . The coarse moduli space S_X of (S-equivalence classes of) rank 2 and trivial determinant semi-stable bundles over X identifies with a normal subvariety of dimension $4(g-1)-1$ of the linear space $|2\Theta| \cong \mathbb{P}^{2g-1}$ of effective divisors linearly equivalent to 2Θ on J_X . Furthermore, its semi-stable boundary identifies with the Kummer variety K_X , which is canonically embedded in $|2\Theta|$.

Assume that k has positive characteristic $p \geq 3$ and denote by X_1 (resp. $J_{X_1}, S_{X_1}, \dots$) the Frobenius twist of X (resp. $J_X, S_X, \dots$). The relative Frobenius $F : X \rightarrow X_1$ is a k -morphism that induces by pull-back a morphism $V_J : J_{X_1} \rightarrow J_X$ classically called *Verschiebung*, étale when X is ordinary and closely related to the multiplication by p . It analogously induces a rational map $V_S : S_{X_1} \dashrightarrow S_X$, called the (generalized) *Verschiebung*, that is generically étale for an ordinary curve and restricts to the morphism $K_{X_1} \rightarrow K_X$ induced by V_J on the semi-stable boundary. There are stable vector bundles E over X_1 such that F^*E is not semi-stable and the corresponding set of points in S_{X_1} is precisely the base locus $B(V_S)$ of V_S .

In genus 2, $S_X \cong |2\Theta|$ and K_X is a quartic surface, the Kummer surface, with 16 nodes corresponding to the 16 points of $J_X[2]$ and forming a so-called 16_6 configuration in $|2\Theta| \cong \mathbb{P}^3$. The *Verschiebung* V_S is given by degree p polynomials. The base locus of *Verschiebung* is finite and we know from the work of B. Osserman [Oss] (see also [LaP]) that it is reduced for a general curve, of length $2(p^3 - p)/3$, and that the indeterminacy of V_S can be resolved by a single blowing-up of S_{X_1} at $B(V_S)$. As a conséquence, V_S has degree $(p^3 + 2p)/3$.

In genus 2 and characteristic 3, Y. Laszlo and C. Pauly have given a nice description of *Verschiebung* [LP2, Section 6]. Consider the family of rank 2 and trivial determinant semi-stable vector bundles parameterized by the blowing-up $\text{Bl}_2(J_X)$ of J_X at its 2-torsion (viewed as a parameter space for pairs $(L, [\varphi])$, L in J_X , $[\varphi]$ in $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^2 \otimes \omega_X)$) and defined by the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{L, [\varphi]} \rightarrow F_*L \xrightarrow{\varphi} L \otimes \omega_{X_1} \rightarrow 0$$

where L also denotes the p -twist of L (in such a way that $F^*L \cong L^3$). The moduli property thus induces a morphism $\text{Bl}_2(J_X) \rightarrow S_{X_1}$ that is proved, using fine properties of Kummer surfaces,

to factor through an embedding $\alpha : K_X \rightarrow S_{X_1}$ such that V_S coincides with the polar map of $\alpha(K_X)$. In particular, there is an equality of divisors

$$V_S^{-1}(K_X) = K_{X_1} + 2\alpha(K_X)$$

in S_{X_1} and $B(V_S)$ is precisely the singular locus of $\alpha(K_X)$.

In [Du], using Prym varieties, we compute the equations defining V_S in characteristic $p = 3, 5$ or 7 , recovering the results of [LP2]. We can thus check that for a general genus 2 curve, there is a normal and integral surface $\bar{H}$ of degree $2(p-1)$ in S_{X_1} (the Zariski closure of the set $(V_S^{-1}(K_X))^s$ of stable bundles E such that F^*E is strictly semi-stable) such that the equality of divisors

$$V_S^{-1}(K_X) = K_{X_1} + 2\bar{H}$$

in S_{X_1} holds in S_{X_1} . Also, we could thus test on many curves (with no exception encountered) the following facts : $B(V_S)$ is contained in the 0-dimensional singular locus $\text{Sing}(\bar{H})$ of $\bar{H}$, there are singular point of $\bar{H}$ where V_S is defined, these points are mapped onto the singular locus $\text{Sing}(K_X)$ of K_X and the inverse image of $\text{Sing}(K_X)$ is 1-dimensional.

In this work, we look at the Zariski closure $\bar{H}$ of the set $(V_S^{-1}(K_X))^s$ of stable rank 2 and trivial determinant bundles E over X_1 such that F^*E is non-stable for a general curve of genus $g \geq 2$ in characteristic $p > 2(g-1)$. It is dominated by a proper scheme $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ whose study boils down to the study of the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}$ of rank 2 and degree 0 subbundles of $F_*\mathcal{O}_X$. For a point (E, α) of $\mathcal{H}$, the quotient fitting in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Q_E \rightarrow 0$$

is stable and the forgetful map $(E, \alpha) \mapsto Q_E$ is a closed immersion if $p > 2g$. In this case, $\mathcal{H}$ has dimension at most $2(g-1)$ (its expected dimension as a Hilbert scheme) and smoothness is therefore given by the vanishing of an H^1 . The Prym varieties associated to double étale covers of X provide us with (a finite number of) smooth points.

In genus 2, a result of Tong Jilong ensures that the divisor Θ_B associated to the sheaf of locally exact differential forms is smooth. Pulling-back the canonical exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

by an injection $L \rightarrow B$ where L is a degree 0 line bundle over X_1 , provides with essentially every strictly semi-stable points of $\mathcal{H}$.

We derive from these facts our main result :

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a general genus 2 curve over an algebraically closed field with characteristic $p \geq 3$. There is an integral and normal surface $\bar{H}$ in S_{X_1} , with degree $2(p-1)$, such that the equality*

$$V_S^{-1}(K_X) = K_{X_1} + 2\bar{H}$$

holds scheme-theoretically.

All schemes will be (locally) noetherian over a base field k . Unless otherwise specified, a point of k -scheme S is a closed point (i.e., a k -point). We will let **Sch**/ k denote the category of schemes over k and **Sets** denote the category of sets.

If Y and Z are schemes over k , we let q_Y (resp. q_Z) denote the first (resp. the second) projection $Y \times Z \rightarrow Y$ (resp. $Y \times Z \rightarrow Z$).

When M (resp. M') is a coherent sheaf over a scheme T , $\mathcal{E}nd(M)$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}nd_0(M)$, resp. $\mathcal{H}om(M, M')$) is the sheaf of germs of $\mathcal{O}_T$ -linear endomorphisms of M (resp. traceless endomorphisms of M , resp. homomorphisms from M to M'). Global sections form the space $\text{End}(M)$ (resp. $\text{End}_0(M)$, resp. $\text{Hom}(M, M')$). The dual of a vector bundle M over T is denoted by $M^\vee$.

We will say that two elements f, f' of $\text{Hom}(M, M')$ coincide if they are equal up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a proper and smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \neq 2$.

2.1. Moduli spaces. In the sequel, we will always denote by S_X (resp. N_X) the coarse moduli space of rank 2 and trivial determinant (resp. degree 0) semi-stable vector bundles over X . These have dimension $4(g-1)-1$ and $5(g-1)$ respectively.

We denote by S_X^s (resp. N_X^s) the stable locus of S_X (resp. N_X) and by S_X^{ss} (resp. N_X^{ss}) the semi-stable boundary of S_X (resp. N_X). The semi-stable boundary of S_X (resp. of N_X) identifies with the Kummer variety K_X of X and it is precisely the singular locus of S_X (resp. of N_X) unless $g = 2$. Whenever a scheme T parameterizes a family $\mathcal{E}$ of rank 2 and trivial determinant (resp. degree 0) over X , we let T^s denote the inverse image of S_X^s (resp. N_X^s) by the map $T \rightarrow S_X$ (resp. $T \rightarrow N_X$) induced by the moduli property. Similarly, T^{ss} will denote the inverse image of S_X^{ss} (resp. N_X^{ss}).

It is well-known that S_X and N_X are normal (even smooth when $g = 2$) and projective varieties over k and that there is a finite étale morphism $t : S_X \times J_X \rightarrow N_X$ (mapping (E, L) to $E \otimes L$) of degree 2^{2g} . Taking the determinant of a vector bundle gives a morphism $\det : N_X \rightarrow J_X$ and letting $[2] : J_X \rightarrow J_X$ denote multiplication by 2, the diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S_X \times J_X & \xrightarrow{q_J} & J_X \\ t \downarrow & & \downarrow [2] \\ N_X & \xrightarrow{\det} & J_X \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Recall that, at a stable point E of N_X (resp. S_X), the tangent space $T_E N_X$ (resp. $T_E S_X$) at E is canonically isomorphic to $H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(E))$ (resp. $H^1(\mathcal{E}nd_0(E))$) and the tangent map of $\det : N_X \rightarrow J_X$ is the trace map $\text{Tr} : H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

When k has characteristic p , we let M_X^Q denote the moduli space

$$M_X(p-2, (p-1)(g-1))$$

of rank $p-2$ and degree $(p-1)(g-1)$ semi-stable vector bundles over X . It is proper and normal of dimension $(p-2)^2(g-1)-1$ and its tangent space at a stable point Q is canonically isomorphic to $H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(Q))$.

2.2. An upper bound for slopes of rank r subbundles of $F_*\eta$. We apply a construction of [JRXY] relying on adjunction and a theorem of P. Cartier (see [Ka, Theorem 5.1]) to prove the following key-lemma

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a genus $g \geq 2$ curve over k and let η be a degree d line bundle over X . For all $1 \leq r \leq p$, a rank r subbundle M of $F_*\eta$ has slope*

$$\mu \leq \mu_r(\eta) = \frac{(r-1)(g-1) + d}{p}$$

Furthermore, there is equality if and only if F^*M has a filtration

$$\{0\} = M_r \subset M_{r-1} \subset \dots \subset M_1 \subset M_0 = F^*M$$

with $M_i/M_{i+1} \cong \omega_X^{\otimes i} \otimes \eta$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r-1$.

Proof. The case $r = 1$ comes directly from adjunction formula. If M is a rank $r \geq 2$ subbundle of F_*L , we write $M_0 = F^*M$ and we let M_1 denote the kernel of the non zero map $\varphi_0 : M_0 = F^*M \rightarrow \eta$ corresponding to $M \hookrightarrow F_*\eta$ by adjunction. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_0/M_1 \rightarrow 0$$

over X and $\deg(M_0/M_1) \leq d$ with equality if and only if $M_0/M_1 \cong \eta$. The second fundamental form of the Cartier's connection $\nabla : M_0 \rightarrow M_0 \otimes \omega_X$ associated to this exact sequence is a $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map $\varphi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow (M_0/M_1) \otimes \omega_X$ that is not zero for otherwise M_1 descends to a subbundle of M_0 , hence of $F_*\eta$, and the composite $M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{O}_X$ does not vanish by functoriality of adjunction.

If $r = 2$, M_1 is a line bundle and one has $\deg M_1 \leq \deg(M_0/M_1) + 2(g-1) \leq 2(g-1) + d$ with equality if and only if $M_1 \cong M_0/M_1 \otimes \omega_X$, hence

$$\mu = \frac{1}{p}\mu(M_0) = \frac{1}{p} \frac{\deg(M_1) + \deg(M_0/M_1)}{p} \leq \mu_2(\eta)$$

with equality if and only if $M_0/M_1 \cong \eta$ and $M_1 \cong \omega_X \otimes \eta$.

If $r \geq 3$, we let M_2 denote the kernel of φ_1 , a rank $r-2$ subbundle of M_0 and we let $\varphi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_0/M_2 \otimes \omega_X$ denote the second fundamental form of ∇ associated to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_0/M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

For the same reason as above, φ_2 does not vanish and we construct inductively a filtration

$$\{0\} = M_r \subset M_{r-1} \subset \dots \subset M_1 \subset M_0 = F^*M$$

of M_0 and a family of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear maps

$$\varphi_k : M_k \rightarrow (M_0/M_k) \otimes \omega_X$$

where

$$M_k = \ker(\varphi_{k-1} : M_{k-1} \rightarrow (M_0/M_{k-1}) \otimes \omega_X)$$

and φ_k is the second fundamental of ∇ associated to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_k \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_0/M_k \rightarrow 0$$

By construction, the map φ_k induces an injection $(M_k/M_{k+1}) \hookrightarrow (M_{k-1}/M_k) \otimes \omega_X$ which yields by induction an inequality

$$\mu = \frac{1}{p}\mu(M_0) = \frac{1}{pr} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \deg(M_k/M_{k+1}) \leq \frac{1}{pr} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (2(g-1)k + d) = \mu_r(\eta)$$

with equality if and only if $M_k/M_{k+1} \cong \omega_X^k \otimes \eta$ for all $0 \leq k \leq r-1$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. It immediately follows that a rank r quotient sheaf M' of $F_*\eta$ has slope

$$\mu \geq \nu_r(\eta) = \frac{(2p-r-1)(g-1) + d}{p} = \mu(F_*\eta) + \frac{(p-r)(g-1)}{p}$$

In the sequel, we simply write μ_r (resp. ν_r) for $\mu_r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ (res. $\nu_r(\mathcal{O}_X)$).

Remark 2.3. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $F_*\eta$ is stable. When one tries to provide an upper bound $\mu_r(W)$ for rank r subbundles of F_*W where W is a stable rank n bundle over X , one can proceed analogously to define a filtration

$$\{0\} = M_r \subset M_{r-1} \subset \dots \subset M_1 \subset M_0 = F^*M$$

in the same way as above. In particular, there is a series of inclusions

$$M_{r-1} \subset (M_{r-2}/M_{r-1}) \otimes \omega_X \subset \dots \subset (M_1/M_2) \otimes \omega_X^{r-2} \subset (M_0/M_1) \otimes \omega_X^{r-1} \subset W \otimes \omega_X^{r-1}$$

However, since we are dealing with higher rank vector bundles, one is confronted to the fact that a non zero map needs not be of maximal rank. Still, if $\text{rk}(M_k/M_{k+1}) < \text{rk}(M_{k-1}/M_k)$, there is a quotient bundle $W \rightarrow W''$ with kernel W' such that the composite

$$M_k/M_{k+1} \subset (M_0/M_1) \otimes \omega_X^k \subset W \otimes \omega_X^k \twoheadrightarrow W'' \otimes \omega_X^k$$

is zero. Letting M' denote the kernel of the composite $M \subset F_*W \twoheadrightarrow F_*W''$, this is a subbundle of F_*W' and we can analogously define a sequence

$$\{0\} = M'_{r'} \subset M'_{r'-1} \subset \dots \subset M'_1 \subset M'_0 = F^*M'$$

The point is then to notice that $M'_i = M_i$ for all $i \geq k$ and proceed by induction on n to prove that $r \leq p$. The stability of W thus induces the stability of F_*W (see [Sun] for another proof much in the same spirit and a generalization of the argument for higher dimensional varieties).

2.3. Recollections on the divisor Θ_B . Assume that k has characteristic $p \geq 3$ and recall that the differential $d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_X$ kills all p -powers and induces the two short exact sequences (1.1) and

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow F_*\omega_X \xrightarrow{c} \omega_{X_1} \rightarrow 0$$

of vector bundles over X_1 , where c is the Cartier's operator (see [Ra, Section 4]). The bundle B of locally exact differential forms has rank $p-1$ and slope $g-1$. Taking $\eta = \mathcal{O}_X$ in the lemma 2.1, we find that B is stable (see [Jo] for another argument) and it provides a filtration

$$(2.3) \quad \{0\} = B_p \subset B_{p-1} \subset \dots \subset B_1 = F^*B \subset B_0 = F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X$$

with $B_i/B_{i+1} \cong \omega_X^{\otimes i}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. (recall that the filtration (2.3) of B_1 was obtained in [Ra, Section 4] using the interpretation of B_1 as the augmentation ideal of the $(p-1)$ -th thickening of the diagonal in $X \times_k X$).

Recall that the bundle B is endowed with a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$(2.4) \quad \Upsilon : B \otimes B \rightarrow \omega_{X_1} \quad (\text{or, equivalently, } \tilde{\Upsilon} : \Lambda^2 B \rightarrow \omega_{X_1})$$

Because $F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X = B_0 \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus B_1$, there is an isomorphism

$$F_*\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong F_*\mathcal{O}_X \oplus F_*\mathcal{O}_X \otimes B$$

where the projection on the first factor is the canonical multiplication map. Thus, the subbundle $\Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X \subset F_*\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ actually lies in $F_*\mathcal{O}_X \otimes B$. Also, it is tautological that the composite $\Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ factors through $\Lambda^2 B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ and since there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow \Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Lambda^2 B \rightarrow 0$$

we find that the extension class of $\Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ in $\text{Ext}^1(\Lambda^2 B, B)$ is the image of the extension class of $F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ in $\text{Ext}^1(B, \mathcal{O}_X)$ via the map

$$\text{Ext}^1(B, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{1_B \otimes 1} \text{Ext}^1(B \otimes B, B) \xrightarrow{\Lambda^2 B \rightarrow B \otimes B} \text{Ext}^1(\Lambda^2 B, B)$$

Now, consider the exact sequence (2.2) and pull-it back by $\tilde{\Upsilon} : \Lambda^2 B \rightarrow \omega_{X_1}$. Because the extension class of $F_*\omega_X$ in $\text{Ext}^1(\omega_{X_1}, B)$ is that of $F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ in $\text{Ext}^1(B, \mathcal{O}_X)$ via the isomorphism $B \xrightarrow{\sim} B^\vee \otimes \omega_{X_1}$ deduced from Υ , there is a map

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{\Upsilon} : \Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\omega_X$$

fitting in the commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & \Gamma & = & \Gamma & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & \Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & \Lambda^2 B & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & F_*\omega_X & \rightarrow & \omega_{X_1} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & 0 & & 0 & \end{array}$$

where Γ is the kernel of $\tilde{\Upsilon}$.

In [Ra], M. Raynaud proves that the set

$$\{L \in J_{X_1} | \text{Hom}_{X_1}(L, B) \neq 0\}$$

is the support of a well-defined divisor Θ_B . The latter is algebraically equivalent to $(p-1)\Theta$ and totally symmetric (in the sense of Mumford), hence comes from a divisor on the Kummer variety K_{X_1} of X_1 . In case X is an ordinary curve, Θ_B does not go through the origin of J_{X_1} (in particular $H^0(B) = H^1(B) = 0$). We recall a few results on Θ_B that Jilong Tong, a former student of M. Raynaud, obtains by degeneration technics (PhD Thesis [To]). Let us first set the following

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_B$ be the *Quot scheme representing the functor* $\mathcal{Hilb}_{1,0}(B)$ from the category of schemes over k to **Sets** and defined by

$$T \mapsto \mathcal{Hilb}_{1,0}(B)(T) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{rank } p-2 \text{ quotient sheaves } q_{X_1}^* B \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \\ \text{over } X_1 \times T, \text{ flat over } T, \\ \text{with } \deg \mathcal{Q}_t = p-1 \ \forall t \in T(k) \end{array} \right\} / \sim$$

The universal line subbundle over $X_1 \times \mathcal{H}_B$ induces a morphism $\mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow \Theta_B \subset J_{X_1}$ that can be seen as a "projective" bundle over Θ_B (meaning that the fiber above a point L of Θ_B is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{Hom}_{X_1}(L, B)^\vee$).

Theorem 2.5 ([To]). *Let X be a general proper and smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k .*

(1) *If $g = 2$, the divisor Θ_B is smooth and the map $\mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow \Theta_B$ is an isomorphism (Theorem 3.2.2, p. 60).*

(2) *If $g \geq 3$, the divisor Θ_B is normal (Theorem 3.2.3, p. 60).*

(3) *If $g \geq 2$, $J_{X_1}[p] \setminus \{0\}$ is the whole set of points of finite order in Θ_B and Θ_B is smooth at each of them (Proposition 3.3.2.1, p. 63). In particular, if L is an order p line bundle over X_1 , $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(L, B) = 1$ (Corollary 2.3.2, p.50).*

3. RANK 2 AND DEGREE 0 SUBBUNDLES OF $F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ FOR $g \geq 2$

From now on, we assume that the base field k has characteristic $p > 2(g - 1)$ and that the curve X is general. In particular, it is ordinary and we have a finite étale group scheme

$$(3.1) \quad G := J_{X_1}[p]_{\text{red}} \cong \ker(V_J : J_{X_1} \rightarrow J_X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^g$$

(it is well known that this statement holds for any ordinary abelian variety of dimension $g \geq 1$).

3.1. Some definitions. Our main object of study will be the following

Definition 3.1. Let η be a degree d line bundle over X with $d \leq 0$ and let $\mathcal{H}_\eta$ be the *Quot-scheme representing the functor $\operatorname{Hilb}_{2,0}(F_*\eta)$ from $\mathbf{Sch}/k$ to $\mathbf{Sets}$ and defined by*

$$T \mapsto \operatorname{Hilb}_{2,0}(F_*\eta)(T) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{rank } p - 2 \text{ quotient sheaves } q_{X_1}^*(F_*\eta) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \\ \text{over } X_1 \times T, \text{ flat over } T, \\ \text{with } \deg \mathcal{Q}_t = (g - 1)(p - 1) + d \ \forall t \in T(k) \end{array} \right\} / \sim$$

where $q_{X_1} : X_1 \times T \rightarrow X_1$ denotes the first projection.

If $\eta = \mathcal{O}_X$, we let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Quot-scheme $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

For a closed point (E, α) of $\mathcal{H}$, we let Q_E denote the quotient sheaf fitting in the exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Q_E \rightarrow 0.$$

By adjunction, there is a non-zero map $\operatorname{ad}(\alpha) : F^*E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ that factors through an injection $s_\Delta : \mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, where Δ is an effective (and possibly trivial) divisor. If Δ has maximal degree among those with this property, the map $F^*E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\Delta)$ is surjective and there is an exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes F^*(\det E) \rightarrow F^*E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \rightarrow 0$$

One checks that the linear equivalence class $\mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ of Δ is uniquely defined and in case $\mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ is non-trivial, we call its degree the *degree of Frobenius-destabilization* of E .

In application to the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}$, the lemma 2.1 has the following immediate consequence

Corollary 3.2. *Assume that (E, α) is a point of $\mathcal{H}$ and that F^*E fits in an exact sequence as above. The second fundamental form*

$$\varphi_1 : \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes F^*(\det E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \otimes \omega_X$$

of Cartier's connection associated to this exact sequence does not vanish.

In particular, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(K - 2\Delta) \otimes \det(F^*E)) \neq 0$ and $0 \leq \deg(\Delta) \leq g - 1$.

If $\Delta = 0$, F^*E is semi-stable and one has $\mathrm{Hom}_{X_1}(E, F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(F^*E, \mathcal{O}_X)$ by adjunction. We define

$$\mathcal{H}_2 := \{(E, \alpha) \text{ in } \mathcal{H} \mid F^*E \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X\}.$$

Remark 3.3. Assume that F^*E is semi-stable and let e in $H^1(X, F^*(\det E))$ denote the extension class of (3.3). Letting φ_1 denote as above the second fundamental form of Cartier's connection associated to this exact sequence, a straightforward cocycle computation yields that the cup-product $e \cup \varphi_1$ in $H^1(\omega_X)$ vanishes.

If Δ is non trivial, it follows from [LaS, Satz 2.5] that the extension (3.3) is non-split and one has $\mathrm{Hom}_{X_1}(E, F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\Delta))$. The universal inclusion $s : \mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ over $X \times |\Delta|$ gives rise to an inclusion $F_*\mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \xrightarrow{F_*s} F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ over $X_1 \times |\Delta|$ and the universal property of $\mathcal{H}$ yields a morphism

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}(-\Delta)} \times |\Delta| \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

One checks that this is a closed immersion and we denote $\mathcal{H}_{|\Delta|}$ its image in $\mathcal{H}$. We check similarly that if Δ and Δ' are two effective divisors with $\Delta - \Delta'$ effective, there is a series of inclusions $\mathcal{H}_{|\Delta|} \subset \mathcal{H}_{|\Delta'|} \subset \mathcal{H}$.

Recall the generalized Nagata-Segre theorem (relying on a result of Hirchowitz [Hir], see also [LaP, Theorem 2.1]) :

Theorem 3.4. *For any rank r and degree δ vector bundle W over any smooth curve X of genus g and for any integer n with $1 \leq n \leq r - 1$, there is a subbundle $E \subset W$ such that the inequality*

$$\mu(E) \geq \mu(W) - \frac{r-n}{r}(g-1) - \frac{\epsilon}{rn}$$

holds, where ϵ is the only integer with $0 \leq \epsilon \leq r - 1$ and $\epsilon + n(r - n)(g - 1) \equiv n\delta \pmod{r}$.

Corollary 3.5. *For any line bundle η with degree $1 - g \leq d \leq 0$, the Quot-scheme $\mathcal{H}_\eta$ is non empty.*

Proof. Taking $W = F_*\eta$ and $n = 2$, we obtain $\epsilon \equiv 2(g - 1 + d)$ and considering our hypothesis on p , g and d , $\epsilon = 2(g - 1 + d)$. Now, the theorem provides us with a rank 2 subbundle of $F_*\eta$ with slope 0. $\square$

On the one hand, the universal subbundle $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ in the universal exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\eta \xrightarrow{\alpha} q_{X_1}^*(F_*\eta) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{Q}_\eta \rightarrow 0$$

over $X_1 \times \mathcal{H}_\eta$ obviously induces a forgetful morphism

$$(3.4) \quad h_{\mathcal{E}_\eta} : \mathcal{H}_\eta \rightarrow N_{X_1}$$

via the moduli property. Using the morphism $t : S_{X_1} \times J_{X_1} \rightarrow N_{X_1}$, we can consider the fiber product $\mathcal{H}_\eta \times_{N_{X_1}} (S_{X_1} \times J_{X_1})$. The map $\mathcal{H}_\eta \times_{N_{X_1}} (S_{X_1} \times J_{X_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\eta$ is étale of degree 2^{2g} and there is a map $\mathcal{H}_\eta \times_{N_{X_1}} (S_{X_1} \times J_{X_1}) \rightarrow S_{X_1} \times J_{X_1}$ above $h_{\mathcal{E}_\eta}$.

On the other hand, the group G naturally acts upon $\mathcal{H}_\eta$ for any η . Indeed, for any γ in G , use the canonical isomorphism $F_*\eta \otimes \gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} F_*\eta$ and define τ_γ as the automorphism of $\mathcal{H}_\eta$ defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \tau_\gamma(\mathcal{E}_T) \cong \mathcal{E}_T \otimes q_{X_1}^*(\gamma)$$

for any such γ and for any T -point of $\mathcal{H}_\eta$. This action is obviously free and it defines an étale quotient map $\mathcal{H}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\eta/G$ of degree p^g . This action can be lifted to a free action of G upon $\mathcal{H}_\eta \times_{N_{X_1}} (S_{X_1} \times J_{X_1})$ and the quotient map is similarly étale finite of degree p^g .

Definition 3.6. We define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\eta := \left[\mathcal{H}_\eta \times_{N_{X_1}} (S_{X_1} \times J_{X_1}) \right] / G \quad (\text{resp. } \tilde{\mathcal{H}} := \left[\mathcal{H} \times_{N_{X_1}} (S_{X_1} \times J_{X_1}) \right] / G).$$

We let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$ denote the set of points in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ such that F^*E is split isotypic.

We let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{|\Delta|}$ denote the closed subscheme of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{H}_{|\Delta|}$ for any effective divisors Δ as above. It is the image of the induced closed immersion $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{O}(-\Delta)} \times |\Delta| \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

A point in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{|\Delta|}$ thus can be seen as a triple (E, ξ, α) where E is a semi-stable rank 2 vector bundle with trivial determinant, where ξ is a degree 0 line bundle over X and where α , defined up to a multiplicative scalar, is an embedding $E \hookrightarrow F_*(\mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \otimes \xi)$.

Definition 3.7. There is an induced map $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\eta \rightarrow S_{X_1} \times J_X$ and we define

$$\tilde{h}_\eta : \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\eta \rightarrow S_{X_1} \text{ and } \tilde{V}_\eta : \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\eta \rightarrow J_X \quad (\text{resp. } \tilde{h} : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow S_{X_1} \text{ and } \tilde{V} : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow J_X)$$

as its composites with the projections.

We let

$$\bar{H} := \text{im}(\tilde{h} : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow S_{X_1})$$

denote the *scheme-theoretic* image of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ ($\bar{H}^s$ and $\bar{H}^{ss}$ will denote respectively its stable and its strictly semi-stable loci) and

$$\bar{H}_2 := \{E \text{ in } \bar{H}^s \mid F^*E \cong \tau \oplus \tau, \tau \text{ in } J_X[2]\}$$

Lemma 3.8. Assume that E is a stable vector bundle over X_1 with rank 2 and trivial determinant (viewed as a point of S_{X_1}). Then,

- (1) the bundle E lies in $\bar{H}$ if and only if F^*E is not stable .
 - (2) the bundle E lies in the image of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{|\Delta|}$ for some non trivial Δ if and only if F^*E is unstable.
 - (3) If F^*E is semi-stable, then $\tilde{h}^{-1}(E)$ contains at most 2 (opposite) points unless E lies in $\bar{H}_2$. In this case, $\tilde{h}^{-1}(E) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ with $\tilde{V}(\tilde{h}^{-1}(E)) \subset J_X[2]$.
- In any case, the image of $\tilde{V}(E, \xi, \alpha)$ through the composite $J_X \rightarrow K_X \subset S_X$ is $V_S(E)$.
- (4) If F^*E is unstable, the fibre $\tilde{h}^{-1}(E)$ identifies with the set $\text{Sym}^d(X)$ of degree d effective divisors over X , where d is degree of Frobenius-destabilization of E .

The map $\tilde{V}$ restricts on the fibre $\tilde{h}^{-1}(E)$ to the usual map $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X) \rightarrow J_X$ (defined up to translation).

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) immediately follow from the adjunction formula.

As for (4), assume that E is a stable point of $\bar{H}$ lying in the image of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{|\Delta|}$. A point (E, ξ, α) lies in the fibre $h^{-1}(E)$ if and only if F^*E fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \xi^{-1} \otimes \rightarrow F^*E \rightarrow \xi \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \rightarrow 0$$

for some non-trivial effective divisor Δ . Then, for any effective divisor Δ' of degree d , there is a surjective map

$$F^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta - \Delta') \rightarrow \xi \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\Delta')$$

giving rise to an injective map $E \hookrightarrow F^*(\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\Delta')) \xrightarrow{F_*(1 \otimes s_{\Delta'})} F_*\xi$. Calling this map α' , $(E, \xi \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta - \Delta'), \alpha')$ is a point of $h^{-1}(E)$. Notice that if Δ and Δ' are two distinct linearly equivalent divisors, the map α and α' do not coincide.

Conversely, if (E, ξ', α') is an other point in $h^{-1}(E)$ fitting in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Delta') \otimes \xi'^{-1} \otimes \rightarrow F^*E \rightarrow \xi' \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\Delta') \rightarrow 0$$

the composite $\mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \xi^{-1} \otimes \rightarrow F^*E \rightarrow \xi' \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\Delta')$ is necessarily 0 and $\mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \xi^{-1}$ is a line subbundle of $\mathcal{O}(\Delta') \otimes \xi'^{-1}$. By symmetry, one obtains $\mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \xi^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}(\Delta') \otimes \xi'^{-1}$. Since the map $\tilde{V}$ is just the forgetful map $(E, \xi, \alpha) \mapsto \xi$, this concludes the proof. $\square$

3.2. The "second fundamental form" map. In the sequel, we use the notations of Subsection 2.3. Recall that we let B_0 (resp. B_1) denote $F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ (resp. F^*B) and $\psi_0 : B_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ (resp. $\psi_1 : B_1 \rightarrow \omega_X$) denote the evaluation map (resp. the second fundamental form of Cartier's connection $\nabla : B_0 \rightarrow B_0 \otimes \omega_X$ associated to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow B_0 \xrightarrow{\psi_0} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$). Notice that the adjoint of ψ_1 is the injection $B \hookrightarrow F_*\omega_X$ of the exact sequence (2.2). Eventually, we will use the map $\tilde{\Upsilon} : \Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\omega_X$. Notice that pulling back by Frobenius splits the middle row in the diagram (2.6) and that the map $\mathrm{ad}(\tilde{\Upsilon}) : F^*(\Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \omega_X$ is nothing but the projection on B_1 followed by $\psi_1 : B_1 \rightarrow \omega_X$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let (E, α) be a point of $\mathcal{H}$ and assume F^*E fits in an exact sequence (3.3). The adjoint $\mathrm{ad}(\Phi_1(E)) : F^*(\det E) \rightarrow \omega_X$ of the composite*

$$\Phi_1(E) : \Lambda^2 E \xrightarrow{\Lambda^2 \alpha} \Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Upsilon}} F_*\omega_X$$

coincides (up to a multiplicative scalar) with the composite

$$F^*(\det E) \xrightarrow{s_\Delta} F^*(\det E) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \xrightarrow{s_\Delta} \omega_X$$

Proof. Take a point (E, α) in $\mathcal{H}$ and pull back the exact sequence (3.2) back to X by Frobenius. Using the notations of the proof of Lemma 2.1, let E_0 (resp. φ_0) denote the Frobenius inverse image of E (resp. the adjoint of α). By the very definition of adjunction, φ_0 is the composite $E_0 \xrightarrow{F^*\alpha} F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\psi_0} \mathcal{O}_X$.

Since $F^*\alpha$ induces an injective map $\rho_1 : \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes F^*(\det E) \rightarrow B_1$ and since $F^*\alpha$ commutes with the canonical connections on E_0 and B_0 , the composites

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \det E_0 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \omega_X \text{ and } \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \det E_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \otimes \omega_X \xrightarrow{s_\Delta} \omega_X$$

coincide. Taking Frobenius inverse image and second exterior powers commute and $F^*(\Lambda^2\alpha)$ agrees with the second exterior power of

$$F^*\alpha = (\varphi_0, F^*\alpha_B) : E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \oplus B_1$$

where α_B denotes the composite map $E \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow B$. A local computation yields the decomposition

$$F^*(\Lambda^2\alpha) = (\tilde{\rho}_1, F^*(\Lambda^2\alpha_B)) : \det E_0 \rightarrow B_1 \oplus \Lambda^2 B_1$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_1$ is the composite $\det E_0 \xrightarrow{s_\Delta} \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \det E_0 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} B_1$. Noticing that the adjoint of $\Phi_1(E)$ is the composite

$$\det E_0 = F^*(\Lambda^2 E) \xrightarrow{F^*(\Lambda^2\alpha)} F^*(\Lambda^2 F^*\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\text{ad}(\tilde{\gamma})} \omega_X$$

concludes the proof. $\square$

If one writes a line bundle L over X under the form $\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(-x_1 - \dots - x_{2g-2})$, where the x_i 's are points of X , one has

$$\text{Hom}(L, \omega_X) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}(x_1 + \dots + x_{2g-2}))$$

Consider the morphism

$$\text{Sym}^{2(g-1)}(X) \rightarrow J_X$$

defined by $x_1 + \dots + x_{2g-2} \mapsto \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(-x_1 - \dots - x_{2g-2})$ and call $\mathcal{B}(J_{X_1})$ and $\mathcal{B}_2(J_X)$ respectively the cartesian products

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(J_{X_1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sym}^{2(g-1)}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ J_{X_1} & \xrightarrow{V_J} & J_X \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}_2(J_X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sym}^{2(g-1)}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ J_X & \xrightarrow{[2]} & J_X \end{array}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{B}(J_{X_1})$ represents the functor from **Sch**/ k to **Sets** defined by

$$T \mapsto \mathcal{H}ilb_{1,0}(F_*\omega_X)(T) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{rank } p-1 \text{ quotient sheaves } q_{X_1}^*(F_*\omega_X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R} \\ \text{over } X_1 \times T, \text{ flat over } T, \\ \text{with } \deg \mathcal{R}_t = (p+1)(g-1) \ \forall t \in T(k) \end{array} \right\} / \sim$$

and since any T -point $(\mathcal{E}_T, \alpha_T)$ of $\mathcal{H}$ induces a morphism

$$\det \mathcal{E}_T \xrightarrow{\Lambda^2 \alpha_T} q_{X_1}^*(\Lambda^2 F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{q_{X_1}^* \tilde{\Delta}} q_{X_1}^*(F_*\omega_X)$$

that does not vanish at any closed points of T , there are natural morphisms

$$\Phi_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(J_{X_1}) \text{ and } \tilde{\Phi}_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(J_X)$$

We will refer to these maps as the "second fundamental form" maps.

It is classical that $\mathrm{Sym}^{2(g-1)}(X)$ is smooth over k , of dimension $2(g-1)$ and so are $\mathcal{B}(J_{X_1})$ and $\mathcal{B}_2(J_X)$. In particular, in genus 2, the map $\mathrm{Sym}^2(X) \rightarrow J_X$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_2(J_X)$) agrees with the blowing-up of J_X at the origin (resp. the blowing-up $\mathrm{Bl}_2(J_X)$ of J_X along its 2-torsion).

Proposition 3.10. *In genus 2 and characteristic 3, the "second fundamental form" map*

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_2(J_X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. It is equivalent to prove that $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(J_{X_1})$ is an isomorphism. Take a point (E, α) of $\mathcal{H}$. The quotient bundle Q_E is a line bundle (Lemma 3.12 below) that is isomorphic to

$$\det(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes (\det E)^{-1} \cong \omega_{X_1} \otimes (\det E)^{-1}$$

and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_{X_1} \otimes (\det E)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$$

Because $\tilde{\Upsilon} : \Lambda^2 B \rightarrow \omega_{X_1}$ is an isomorphism in characteristic 3, the map $\tilde{\Upsilon} : \Lambda^2(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow F_*\omega_X$ also is an isomorphism and one can identify the maps $\Phi_1(E) : \Lambda^2(E) \rightarrow F_*\omega_X$ and $\Lambda^2(\alpha)$.

But taking second exterior powers in the short exact sequence above yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2(E) \xrightarrow{\Lambda^2(\alpha)} \Lambda^2(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow E \otimes \omega_{X_1} \otimes \det E^{-1} \rightarrow 0$$

Because $E \otimes (\det E)^{-1} \cong E^\vee$, we find that the two exact sequences correspond to each other by Serre's duality. $\square$

Remark 3.11. This is actually the construction of [LP2, Section 6]. In particular, we find in that case that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is smooth and that $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ is an étale map.

3.3. A closed immersion via the quotient bundle. In rank 2, the lemma 2.1 has the following other consequence.

Lemma 3.12. *Let (E, α) be a point of $\mathcal{H}_\eta$. Then the quotient bundle fitting in the exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_*\eta \rightarrow Q_{E,\eta} \rightarrow 0$$

is a stable vector bundle with rank $p-2$ and degree $(p-1)(g-1)+d$, where $d = \deg \eta$.

In particular, the moduli property of $M_{X_1}^Q$ defines a forgetful morphism

$$(3.7) \quad h_Q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X_1}^Q$$

Proof. Assume first that $Q_{E,\eta}$ has torsion. Then, the kernel of the map $F_*\eta \rightarrow Q_{E,\eta}^{\vee\vee}$ to the torsion free part of $Q_{E,\eta}$ has rank 2 and slope at least $1/2$. But

$$\mu_2(\eta) = (g+d-1)/p \leq (g-1)/p < 1/2$$

and this is a contradiction. If $p=3$, $Q_{E,\eta}$ is a line bundle and it is stable. If $p \geq 5$, let M be a quotient bundle of $Q_{E,\eta}$ with rank $r \leq p-3$. It is also a quotient of $F_*\eta$ and its slope μ satisfies

$$\mu \geq \nu_r(\eta) \geq \nu_{p-3}(\eta) = \frac{(p+2)(g-1)+d}{p} > \frac{(p-1)(g-1)+d}{p-2}$$

for all $p \geq 5$ and $Q_{E,\eta}$ is again stable. $\square$

The forgetful map $h_{\mathcal{Q}}$ maps a T -point $(\mathcal{E}_T, \alpha_T)$ of $\mathcal{H}$ to the T -point $\mathcal{Q}_T$ of $\mathcal{M}_{X_1}^{\mathcal{Q}}$, where $\mathcal{Q}_T$ fits in the exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_T \xrightarrow{\alpha_T} q_{X_1}^*(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_T \rightarrow 0$$

over $X_1 \times T$.

Proposition 3.13. *Assume that $p > 2g$. Then, the morphism $h_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X_1}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a closed immersion.*

Proof. One only needs to show that, for any point (E, α) in $\mathcal{H}$, $\text{Hom}(F_*\mathcal{O}_X, Q_E)$ has dimension 1. Indeed, we can apply the functor $\text{Hom}(-, Q_E)$ to the exact sequence (3.2) and the connecting morphism

$$\text{Hom}(E, Q_E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(Q_E, Q_E)$$

(that agrees with the tangent map $Th^{\mathcal{Q}} : T_E\mathcal{H} \rightarrow T_{Q_E}\mathcal{M}_{X_1}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ at E) is injective in this case. Using adjunction and relative duality, there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{X_1}(F_*\mathcal{O}_X, Q_E) \cong \text{Hom}_X(\omega_X^{p-1}, F^*Q_E)$$

Lemma 3.14. *Let (E, α) be a point of $\mathcal{H}$. With the notations of Lemma 3.9, define the torsion sheaf*

$$k_{\Phi_1(E)} \cong \text{coker}(\text{ad}(\Phi_1(E)) : \det E_0 \rightarrow \omega_X)$$

over X . The exact sequence (3.2) over X_1 gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow F^*Q_E \rightarrow k_{\Phi_1(E)} \rightarrow 0$$

over X (where $B_2 = \ker(\psi_1 : B_1 \rightarrow \omega_X)$, see Subsection 2.3).

Proof. Consider the exact sequence (3.3) for some effective divisor Δ associated to (E, α) . Letting k_{Δ} denote the cokernel of the injective map $\mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \xrightarrow{s_{\Delta}} \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $\varphi_0 = \text{ad}(\alpha)$ coincides with the composite $F^*E \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\Delta) \xrightarrow{s_{\Delta}} \mathcal{O}_X$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \det E_0 \rightarrow E_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow k_{\Delta} \rightarrow 0$$

of coherent sheaves over X and the Frobenius pull-back of the exact sequence (3.2) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \det E_0 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} B_1 \rightarrow F^*Q_E \rightarrow k_{\Delta} \rightarrow 0$$

Since the composite $\mathcal{O}(\Delta) \otimes \det E_0 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \omega_X$ defined at (3.6) is non zero, it is injective and B_2 identifies with a subbundle of $\text{coker}(\rho_1)$, hence of F^*Q_E . Now, the quotient $\text{coker}(\rho_1)/B_2$ is also the cokernel of the maps defined in (3.6) and the lemma follows. $\square$

It follows from the filtration (2.3) of B_0 and from the previous lemma that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B_2/\omega_X^{p-1} \rightarrow F^*Q_E/\omega_X^{p-1} \rightarrow k_{\Phi_1(E)} \rightarrow 0$$

Lemma 3.15. *When $p > 2g$ and for any (E, α) in $\mathcal{H}$, the quotient sheaf F^*Q_E/ω_X^{p-1} is torsion free.*

Proof. Assume that the torsion $D := \text{Tors}(F^*Q_E/\omega_X^{p-1})$ of the quotient sheaf F^*Q_E/ω_X^{p-1} is not zero. Then the kernel of the composite map

$$F^*Q_E \rightarrow F^*Q_E/\omega_X^{p-1} \rightarrow (F^*Q_E/\omega_X^{p-1})/D$$

can be written under the form $\omega_X^{p-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)$ where $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is a line bundle of degree $\deg D > 0$ over X . By functoriality of relative duality, the map $F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Q_E$ factors through the surjective map $F_*\mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow Q_E$. But the remark 2.2 following Lemma 2.1 tells us that

$$\nu_{p-2}(\mathcal{O}(D)) = \frac{(p+1)(g-1) + \deg(D)}{p} > \frac{(p-1)(g-1)}{p-2}$$

and this is a contradiction. $\square$

Therefore, if $\dim \text{Hom}(\omega_X^{p-1}, F^*Q_E) \geq 2$, there is an injective map $\omega_X^{p-1} \oplus \omega_X^{p-1} \hookrightarrow F^*Q_E$ and the kernel of the composite

$$\omega_X^{p-1} \oplus \omega_X^{p-1} \hookrightarrow F^*Q_E \rightarrow k_{\Phi_1(E)}$$

identifies with a rank 2 subbundle of B_2 with slope at least $(2p-3)(g-1)$. But B_2 has a unique such subbundle, namely B_{p-2} (see Subsection 2.3 again) which is the unique non split extension of ω_X^{p-2} by ω_X^{p-1} . Therefore, it cannot be a subbundle of $\omega_X^{p-1} \oplus \omega_X^{p-1}$ and this is a contradiction. $\square$

3.4. Fitting ideals. Recall from the GIT construction of the moduli space $M_{X_1}^Q$ that there is a Quot-scheme $Quot$, quasi-projective over k , that represents the functor from the category **Sch**/ k of schemes over k to **Sets** defined by

$$T \mapsto \left\{ k^N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times T} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_T \otimes q_{X_1}^* L_{>0} \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{Q}_T \text{ is locally free of rank } p-2 \text{ and,} \\ \forall t \in T(k), \mathcal{Q}_T(t) \text{ is stable} \\ \text{and has degree } (p-1)(g-1). \end{array} \right. \right\} / \sim$$

where $L_{>0}$ is a suitably high degree line bundle over X_1 . We require that there is an isomorphism $k^N \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \xrightarrow{\sim} q_{T,*}(\mathcal{Q}_T \otimes q_{X_1}^* L_{>0})$ that identifies a surjective map $k^N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_T \otimes q_{X_1}^* L_{>0}$ to the evaluation of global sections of $\mathcal{Q}_T \otimes q_{X_1}^* L_{>0}$. This gives a natural action of $PGL(N)$ on $Quot$ (resp. on the universal quotient bundle $\mathcal{Q}_{Quot}$ over $X_1 \times S$) and those actions are compatible. The coarse moduli property associates to the universal bundle $\mathcal{Q}_{Quot}$ a morphism $\pi : Quot \rightarrow M_{X_1}^Q$ which makes $Quot$ into a locally isotrivial principal $PGL(N)$ -bundle over the stable locus $(M_{X_1}^Q)^s$ of $M_{X_1}^Q$.

Remark 3.16. If U is an affine open subset in $(M_{X_1}^Q)^s$, $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is an affine open subset of $Quot$ and there is an isomorphism

$$H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_{Quot})^{PGL(N)}$$

In particular, any $PGL(N)$ -invariant sheaf of ideals over $Quot$ descends to a sheaf of ideals over $(M_{X_1}^Q)^s$.

For any T -point $\mathcal{Q}_T : T \rightarrow Quot$, we let

$$\mathcal{I}^Q := \text{Fitt}_{((p-2)^2-2)(g-1)}(R^1 q_{T,*}(\mathcal{H}om(F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{Q}_T))) \subset \mathcal{O}_T$$

denote the $((p-2)^2 - 2)(g-1)$ -th Fitting ideal of the first direct image of $\mathcal{H}om(F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{Q}_T)$, where $\mathcal{Q}_T$ also denotes the vector bundle $\mathcal{Q}_T^*(\mathcal{Q}_{Quot})$. Because X_1 is a curve, the formation of $R^1q_{T,*}$ commutes with any base change. It is also the case for the formation of Fitting ideals (see [E, Corollary 20.5]), and there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{I}_T \cong \mathcal{Q}_T^*(\mathfrak{I}_{Quot})$ compatible with base change. As a consequence of the construction, we obtain the following

Lemma 3.17. *The Fitting ideal $\mathfrak{I}_{Quot}$ is $\mathrm{PGL}(N)$ -invariant, hence descends to an sheaf of ideals $\mathfrak{I}$ over $M_{X_1}^Q$.*

One checks that the sheaf

$$\mathcal{F}_T^1 := q_{T,*}\mathcal{H}om(q_{X_1}^*(F_*\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \omega_{X_1}^{-1}), \mathcal{Q}_T)$$

is locally free of rank $(g-1)(p^2-2)$ and, assuming that $\mathrm{Hom}(F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{Q}_T(t)) = 0$ for a generic point t in T , one obtains a projective resolution

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_T^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_T^0 \rightarrow R^1q_{T,*}\mathcal{H}om(q_{X_1}^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{Q}_T) \rightarrow 0$$

of $R^1q_{T,*}\mathcal{H}om(p_{X_1}^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{Q}_T)$, where

$$\mathcal{F}_T^0 := \mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus 2(g-1)p(p-2)}$$

is a locally free of rank $2(g-1)p(p-2)$, by taking a non zero global section of ω_{X_1} . Notice that if $p > 2g$, it is the case that a generic Q in $(M_{X_1}^Q)^s$ does not lie in the closed subscheme H . Indeed, it follows from Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.8 that

$$\dim H \leq \dim S_{X_1} + g - 1 = 5(g-1) - 1 \leq (p-2)^2(g-1) - 1$$

In particular, there is a projective resolution as above when $T = Quot$.

Proposition 3.18. *Assume that $p > 2g$. Then,*

- (1) *the Fitting ideal $\mathfrak{I}^Q$ is the defining ideal of the closed subscheme $\mathcal{H}$ in $M_{X_1}^Q$;*
- (2) *if $\mathcal{H}$ has the expected dimension $2(g-1)$, it is locally complete intersection (hence Cohen-Macaulay) and equidimensionnal;*
- (3) *if (E, α) is a point of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(E, Q_E) = 2(g-1)$, then $\mathcal{H}$ has the expected dimension at E and it is regular at this point.*

Proof. Since for any Q in $M_{X_1}^Q$, a non-zero map $F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Q$ is surjective (use Lemma 2.1), it is clear that $\mathcal{H}$ and the zero locus $\mathcal{H}'$ of $\mathfrak{I}^Q$ have the same support. To prove that there is a scheme-theoretic isomorphism $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}'$, it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{H}(T) = \mathcal{H}'(T)$ for any affine k -scheme T (the inclusion $\mathcal{H}(T) \subset \mathcal{H}'(T)$ is clear from the definitions).

Assume that $\mathcal{Q}_T$ is a T -point of $M_{X_1}^Q$ that cancels the Fitting ideal $\mathfrak{I}^Q$. Using the corresponding morphism to the Quot-scheme $Quot$ (still denoted by $\mathcal{Q}_T$), one pulls-back the projective resolution of $R^1q_{Quot,*}\mathcal{H}om(q_{X_1}^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{Q}_{Quot})$ and obtains an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{Q}_T^*(\mathcal{F}_{Quot}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_T^*(\mathcal{F}_{Quot}^0) \rightarrow R^1q_{T,*}\mathcal{H}om(q_{X_1}^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{Q}_T) \rightarrow 0$$

Using Proposition 3.13 and its proof, we find that $R^1p_{T,*}\mathcal{H}om(p_{X_1}^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{Q}_T)$ is locally free of rank $((p-2)^2 - 2)(g-1) + 1$ and the kernel of $\mathcal{Q}_T^*(\mathcal{F}_{Quot}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_T^*(\mathcal{F}_{Quot}^0)$ is locally free of rank 1. Locally on any sufficiently small open subset U of T , one can therefore find a non-zero morphism $\beta_U : p_{X_1}^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_U$ which is surjective since it is so at any closed point of U (use Nakayama's

lemma). Since $\mathcal{H}$ is a closed subscheme of $M_{X_1}^Q$ and since T is affine, the β_U can be glued and we conclude that $\mathcal{H}'(T) \subset \mathcal{H}(T)$. This proves (1).

Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ has the expected dimension $2(g-1)$. At a point (E, α) of $\mathcal{H}$, choose an affine neighborhood U of t in $(M_{X_1}^Q)^s$ and a finite étale cover $\bar{U} \rightarrow U$ that trivializes the $\mathrm{PGL}(N)$ -bundle $\pi : \mathrm{Quot} \rightarrow (M_{X_1}^Q)^s$. Choose a section $\bar{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{Quot}$ and let $\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{U}}$ denote the corresponding pull-back of the universal quotient bundle $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{Quot}}$ over $\bar{U}$. Also denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{U}}$ the inverse image of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\bar{U}$. It is locally complete intersection and since $(\mathcal{M}_{X_1}^Q)^s$ (hence $\bar{U}$) is smooth, $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{U}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay (see, e.g., [Ha, Proposition II.8.23]). Because it is defined as a determinantal variety, one knows (see, e.g., [Fu, Chapter 14]) that each irreducible component of $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{U}}$ (hence of $\mathcal{H}$) has dimension at least $2(g-1)$, hence equal to $2(g-1)$. All these notions go through étale descent (see the discussion in [E, p. 466] for locally complete intersection) and this proves (2).

Assume that $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(E, Q_E) = 2(g-1)$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(E, Q_E)$ is isomorphic to the tangent space of $\mathcal{H}$ at (E, α) , the dimension of $\mathcal{H}$ at this point is at most $2(g-1)$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is a determinantal variety, its dimension is at least $2(g-1)$. Now, (3) follows from standard facts on Quot-schemes of the expected dimension. $\square$

Remark 3.19. Notice that one can do the same kind of reasoning for the image of $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ in N_{X_1} that is characterized by $\mathrm{Hom}(E, F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$. This proves in particular that if E is a stable rank 2 subbundle of $F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ such that $F^*E \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$, its image in N_{X_1} is a singular point of the image $h_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{H})$ (see [Fu] again). By contrast, if (E, α) is a stable point of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $F^*E \neq \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ (see Subsection 4.2 for the existence of such a point), applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{X_1}(E, -)$ to the exact sequence (3.2) yields an injection

$$T_{(E, \alpha)}\mathcal{H} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{X_1}(E, Q_E) \hookrightarrow H^1(X_1, \mathcal{E}nd(E)) \cong T_E N_{X_1}$$

and the morphism $h_{\mathcal{E}}$ is locally (in the neighborhood of a point in $\mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{H}_2$) a closed immersion.

4. DISTINGUISHED LOCI

Theorem 2.5 applies and it follows from a result of Nakajima (see, e.g., [Zh]) that X is even *super-ordinary* in the sense that all of its abelian Galois étale covers are ordinary.

4.1. The semi-stable boundaries of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. If E is a strictly semi-stable rank 2 and degree 0 vector bundle, it has a degree 0 line subbundle M . Now, if E is a subbundle of $F_*\mathcal{O}_X$, so is M and the latter has order dividing p . Upon twisting E by a suitable element of G , we can assume that E admits $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ as a line subbundle and because of the exact sequence (1.1), the quotient bundle $E/\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ identifies with a degree 0 line subbundle of the bundle B of locally exact differential forms.

If L is a point of Θ_B and $L \hookrightarrow B$ is the corresponding injection, we define E^L as the inverse image of L in $F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ via the canonical surjection $F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow B$. There is an extension

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow E^L \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

(that also depends on the inclusion $L \hookrightarrow B$) and Q_{E^L} is canonically isomorphic to the quotient sheaf

$$Q_L := Q_{L \hookrightarrow B} \cong B/L$$

fitting in the exact sequence

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow B \rightarrow Q_L \rightarrow 0$$

Using the Quot-scheme $\mathcal{H}_B$ defined in Subsection 2.3, this defines closed immersions $\mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/G$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$ identifies in particular with the semi-stable boundary of $\mathcal{H}/G$. Thus, the semi-stable boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{ss}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ identifies with a degree 2^{2g} étale covering of $\mathcal{H}_B$.

Remark 4.1. Notice that the adjoint map $F^*E^L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ provides with a splitting of the Frobenius pull-back of the exact sequence (4.1). In other words, $F^*E^L \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus F^*L$.

4.2. Vector bundles arising from Prym varieties. We recall some basic facts about Prym varieties associated to double étale covers of X and their relation with rank 2 vector bundles invariant under the action of an order 2 line bundle over X_1 . The reader can look in [Mu] for proofs and details (see also [Du] where we use these technics in the same context).

Choose an order 2 line bundle τ over X and consider the corresponding double étale cover $\alpha : \tilde{X} := \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \tau) \rightarrow X$. To this cover is classically associated the homomorphisms $\alpha^* : J_X \rightarrow J_{\tilde{X}}$ (with finite kernel isomorphic to $\langle \tau \rangle$) and $\mathrm{Nm} : J_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow J_X$ defined by $M \mapsto M \otimes i^*M$ (where $i : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is the involution permuting the sheets of the double cover α). The latter is surjective and its kernel is the product of a finite group isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and of a principally polarized abelian variety P_τ (the Prym variety associated to α) of dimension $g - 1$. The composite $\mathrm{Nm} \circ \alpha^*$ is $[2] : J_X \rightarrow J_X$.

For any L in $J_{\tilde{X}}$, α_*L is a rank 2 vector bundle with determinant $\mathrm{Nm}(L) \otimes \tau$, satisfying $\alpha_*L \otimes \tau \cong \alpha_*L$. It is stable if L does not belong to $\mathrm{im}(\alpha^*)$ and $\alpha_*\alpha^*L \cong (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \tau) \otimes L$ for any L in J_X . Conversely, if E is any vector bundle of rank 2 and degree 0, an isomorphism $E \otimes \tau \cong E$ allows one to give E a structure of $(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \tau)$ -free module of rank 1, meaning that $E \cong \alpha_*L$ for some $L \in J_{\tilde{X}}$. In particular, there is a morphism $\mathrm{Nm}^{-1}(\tau) \rightarrow S_X$ defined by $L \mapsto \alpha_*L$ that surjects onto the τ -invariant locus of S_X which identifies with the intersection of S_X with the (disjoint union of) two projective spaces in $|2\Theta|$ that are invariant under the action of τ . It is easily checked that, restricted to an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Nm}^{-1}(\tau)$ (that identifies with P_τ), the morphism $P_\tau \rightarrow S_X$ factors through the Kummer variety $K_{P_\tau} := P_\tau/\{\pm 1\}$ of P_τ and that the latter is embedded in S_X by this map. In particular, it intersects the strictly semi-stable locus $S_X^{ss} \cong K_X$ in $2^{2(g-1)}$ points that identify with the 2-torsion $P_\tau[2] = P_\tau \cap \mathrm{im}(\alpha^*)$ of P_τ .

Since p is odd, F induces an isomorphism $J_{X_1}[2] \xrightarrow{\sim} J_X[2]$ and letting τ_1 denote the image of τ , the Frobenius inverse image $\alpha_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow X_1$ of $\alpha : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the étale double cover associated to τ_1 . We choose a morphism $P_{\tau_1} \rightarrow S_{X_1}$ associated to τ . Following [SGA1, Lemma I.11], one has a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{F} & \tilde{X}_1 \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_1 \\ X & \xrightarrow{F} & X_1 \end{array}$$

and the action of Frobenius on vector bundles arising from the Prym variety P_{τ_1} is given by multiplication by p on P_{τ_1} .

Proposition 4.2. *Let τ_1 be any order 2 line bundle on X_1 and let (E, α) be a stable τ_1 -invariant point of H . Then, one has $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{X_1}(E, Q_E) = 2(g-1)$.*

The scheme-theoretic locus of τ_1 -invariant points of $\bar{H}$ is finite reduced and contains exactly $2^{2(g-1)-1}(p^g-1)$ points.

Proof. A point (E, α) in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $E \otimes \tau_1 \cong E$ can be written under the form $\alpha_{1,*}L$ for some line bundle L in $J_{\tilde{X}_1}$, and the exact sequence (3.2) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \alpha_{1,*}L \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L} \rightarrow 0$$

Use adjunction and relative duality for the map α_1 and the commutativity of the diagram preceding the statement to obtain an injective map $L \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ and deduce the fact that L has order p (since E is stable, $L \neq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_1}$). Since $F^*\alpha_{1,*}L \cong \alpha_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \tau$, a τ_1 -invariant point of $\bar{H}$ neither lies in the base locus of V nor in $\bar{H}_2$. Since $\operatorname{Nm}(L)$ also has order p and since $[2] : J_{X_1} \rightarrow J_{X_1}$ induces an isomorphism of $J_{X_1}[p]$, we can assume, upon twisting by a suitable order p line bundle over J_{X_1} , that $\operatorname{Nm}(L) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$.

Pull the exact sequence above back to $\tilde{X}_1$ to obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-1} \oplus L \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \alpha_1^*Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L} \rightarrow 0$$

and twist the latter by L . Consider the following commutative diagram of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_1}$ -modules with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_1} & = & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_1} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_1} \oplus L^2 & \rightarrow & F_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} & \rightarrow & \alpha_1^*Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L} \otimes L & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\ 0 \rightarrow & L^2 & \rightarrow & \tilde{B} & \rightarrow & \alpha_1^*Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L} \otimes L & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & & \end{array}$$

where the middle column is the exact sequence (1.1) for $\tilde{X}_1$. Use projection formula for α_1 and the isomorphism $\alpha_{1,*}L \cong (\alpha_{1,*}L)^\vee$ (deriving from the assumption $\operatorname{Nm}(L) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$) to obtain an isomorphism $\alpha_{1,*}(\alpha_1^*Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L} \otimes L) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{X_1}}(\alpha_{1,*}L, Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L})$. The long exact sequence of cohomology associated to the bottom line thus yields a surjection

$$H^1(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{B}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{X_1}^1(\alpha_{1,*}L, Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L})$$

Because X is a general curve, it is super-ordinary and its double étale cover $\tilde{X}$ is ordinary. Therefore, $\operatorname{Ext}_{X_1}^1(\alpha_{1,*}L, Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L}) = 0$ and the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{X_1}(\alpha_{1,*}L, Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L})$ is given by Riemann-Roch. Namely, one has

$$\dim(\operatorname{Hom}_{X_1}(\alpha_{1,*}L, Q_{\alpha_{1,*}L})) = 2(p-2) \left(\frac{(p-1)(g-1)}{p-2} - g + 1 \right) = 2(g-1)$$

Now, assume that $(\mathcal{E}_T, \alpha_T)$ is a T -point of $\mathcal{H}$ for some connected k -scheme T . Assume that there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{E}_T \times q_{X_1}^* \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_T$ over $X_1 \times T$. Then, there is a morphism $T \rightarrow P_{\tau_1}$ such that the composite $T \rightarrow P_{\tau_1} \xrightarrow{L \mapsto \alpha_1, *L} N_{X_1}$ coincide with the composite $T \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{h_{\mathcal{E}}} N_{X_1}$. This morphism $T \rightarrow P_{\tau_1}$ factors through the reduced subscheme $P_{\tau_1}[p]_{\text{red}}$ and it proves that the τ_1 -invariant locus of $\mathcal{H}$ is finite and reduced. Since $\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus \tau_1$ cannot be imbedded in $F^* \mathcal{O}_X$, we find that the intersection of $\bar{H}$ and of the image of P_{τ_1} agrees with the stable part of the image of $P_{\tau_1}[2p]$ endowed with the reduced induced structure. Hence, it is in one-to-one correspondence with the set

$$(P_{\tau_1}[2p] \setminus P_{\tau_1}[2]) / \{\pm\}$$

whose cardinality is $(2^{2(g-1)}p^g - 2^{2(g-1)})/2 = 2^{2(g-1)-1}(p^g - 1)$. $\square$

Applying Proposition 3.18.(3), one has in particular

Corollary 4.3. *If $p > 2g$, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is smooth at any τ_1 -invariant point.*

4.3. The set of Frobenius trivialized points of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. The set of (integrable) connections on $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ is affine under $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X, (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \omega_X)$ and we use the trivial connection induced by the isomorphism $F^*(\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$. The group $\text{Aut}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{GL}(2, k)$ acts on the vector space $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X, (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \omega_X)$ by conjugation (we will talk about *transport*) and the vanishing of the p -curvature is invariant under transport.

Since we will perform some explicit computations, let us recall the following general technic. Being given a rank r bundle M with connection ∇ over a curve X , choose an affine open subset U of X that trivializes M and ω_X , choose a differential form ω_0 (defined over U) that does not vanish over U and provides with a trivialization of ω_X . Choose a germ of derivation θ_0 defined over U such that $\theta_0(\omega_0) = 1$. The restriction of ∇ to U is given by a $r \times r$ matrix T with coefficients in $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ such that

$$\nabla(f \otimes e) = T(e) \otimes \omega_0 + e \otimes df$$

The vanishing of

$$\psi_{\nabla}(\theta_0) = (T + \theta_0)^p - \theta_0^p(\omega_0)T - \theta_0^p$$

suffices to prove the vanishing of the p -curvature. Computing $(T + \theta_0)^p$ recursively and looking for $(T + \theta_0)^n$ under the form $\sum_{k=0}^n T_k^{(n)} \theta_0^k$, one finds

$$(4.3) \quad (T + \theta_0)^{n+1} = (T + \theta_0)T_0^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left((\theta_0 + T)T_k^{(n)} + T_{k-1}^{(n)} \right) \theta_0^k + T_n^{(n)} \theta_0^{n+1}$$

By definition, one has $T_0^{(1)} = T$ and $T_1^{(1)} = 1$. This implies that $T_n^{(n)} = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and we set $T_0^{(0)} = 1$ for consistency. One checks by induction that

$$T_{n-r}^{(n)} = \binom{n}{r} T_0^{(r)} \text{ for all } n, 0 \leq r \leq n,$$

and consequently, that $\psi_{\nabla}(\theta_0) = T_0^{(p)} - \theta_0^p(\omega_0)T$.

Remark 4.4. (1) The explicit computation of $T_0^{(p)}$ is usually very tedious since T and θ_0 do not commute. Still, in the case of a line bundle, the expression dramatically simplifies to give

$$\psi_{\nabla}(\theta_0) = T^p + \theta_0^{p-1}(T) - \theta_0^p(\omega_0)T$$

(2) In case M has trivial determinant, the explicit computation of the determinant connection ensures that $\nabla^{\det}$ is represented by $\text{Tr}(T)$.

Let us look at a point E of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $F^*E \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$. If E is stable, it is a point of $\mathcal{H}_2$. By contrast, assume that E is a strictly semi-stable vector bundle that one can think of as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow E^L \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

with L in Θ_B (see Subsection 4.1). Since $\det F^*E^L \cong F^*L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, L has order p and as X is a general curve, Theorem 2.5.(3) applies and such a point L is a regular point of Θ_B . In particular, $\dim \text{Hom}_{X_1}(L, B) = 1$ and E is the split vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus L$ (notice, though, that $\varphi_0 : \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ maps a local section (f_1, f_2) to the sum $f_1 + f_2$ rather than to one of the f_i 's, and that the second fundamental form is indeed non zero). Upon conjugating by a global automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$, there is thus a canonical p -integrable connection

$$\nabla^{\text{can}} = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d + \omega_L \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$, where ω_L is the unique global differential form such that $d + \omega_L : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_X$ has vanishing p -curvature and such that the corresponding line bundle on X_1 is L . Let U_L be the affine subset of X where ω_L does not vanish and let θ_L be the germ of derivation (defined on U_L) such that $\theta_L(\omega_L) = 1$. Because the connection $d + \omega_L$ has vanishing p -curvature, one finds, using the p -curvature formula for line bundles in Remark 4.4, that

$$\psi_{d+\omega_L}(\theta_L) = (\theta_L + 1)^p - \theta_L^p(\omega_L) - \theta_L^p = 1 - \theta_L^p(\omega_L) = 0$$

If x is any regular function defined on U_L , we let $\theta_L(x)$ denote the regular function $\theta_L(dx)$, where $d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_X$ is the canonical derivation.

Lemma 4.5. *With the notations above, let ω be any global differential form over X such that ω_L and ω are linearly independent and denote by x the non constant rational function ω/ω_L . Then, none of the expressions*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \theta_L^k(x) \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \theta_L^k(x)$$

vanishes.

Proof. By assumption, $\dim \text{Hom}(L, B) = 1$ for all line bundle L of order p on X_1 and the map $\text{Ext}^1(L, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \xrightarrow{H^1(F^*)} \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is injective. Therefore, if a E is S-equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus L$ and satisfies $F^*E \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$, it is necessarily isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus L$. In terms of connections, it means that both connections

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} d & \omega \\ 0 & d + \omega_L \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \nabla' = \begin{pmatrix} d + \omega_L & \omega \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ have non-zero p -curvature since none of them is transport equivalent to ∇^{can} . Because

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(\text{resp. } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

for any rational function f on X , we compute by induction (using the expression (4.3)) that

$$\psi_{\nabla}(\theta_L) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \theta_L^k(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(\text{resp. } \psi_{\nabla'}(\theta_L) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \theta_L^k(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

and conclude the proof. $\square$

Proposition 4.6. *The set $\mathcal{H}_2$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$) is proper.*

Proof. Assume that the contrary holds. Then there is a point of type $\mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus L$ as above that can be continuously deformed into a point of $\mathcal{H}_2$. In particular, letting X_ε denote $X \times_k \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^2$, the connection ∇^{can} above has a non-trivial linear infinitesimal deformation $\nabla_\varepsilon := \nabla^{\text{can}} + \varepsilon T$ over X_ε (with T in $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X, (\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \omega_X) \cong H^0(\omega_X)^{\oplus 4}$) whose p -curvature vanishes and whose second fundamental form is non-zero (and remains so after conjugation by any element of $\text{Aut}_{X_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{X_\varepsilon} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_\varepsilon}) \cong \text{GL}(2, k[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^2)$).

Because X is ordinary, the kernel of $V_J : J_{X_1} \rightarrow J_X$ is reduced and such a deformation necessarily induces a trivial deformation of the determinant connection. It means that T is a traceless morphism (see Remark 4.4.(2) above) that we write under the form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{11} & \omega_{12} \\ \omega_{21} & -\omega_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_{11} & \omega_{12} \\ \omega_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \omega_{11} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = T' - \omega_{11} I$$

Write $f^{(i)}$ for the function ω_i/ω_L , $i = 11, 12$ or 21 . It is regular over U_L . Let ∇'_ε denote $\nabla_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \omega_{11} I = \nabla^{\text{can}} + \varepsilon T'$. It follows from [Oss, Corollary 3.6(iii)] that one has

$$(4.4) \quad \psi_{\nabla_\varepsilon}(\theta_L) = \psi_{\nabla'_\varepsilon}(\theta_L) + \varepsilon((f^{(11)})^p + \theta_L^{p-1}(f^{(11)}) - (f^{(11)}))I$$

where we use $\theta_L^p(\omega_L) = 1$ for the last term.

Introduce the matrices $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = J^2$ and $R_0^{(n)}$, where $R_0^{(1)} = R = \begin{pmatrix} 2f^{(11)} & f^{(12)} \\ f^{(21)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and where $R_0^{(n+1)} = (\theta_L + J)R_0^{(n)} + RJ$ for all $n \geq 1$. One checks that

$$(\theta_L + J + \varepsilon R)(J + \varepsilon R_0^{(n)}) = J + \varepsilon R_0^{(n+1)}$$

and writing $R_0^{(n)}$ under the form $\begin{pmatrix} 2f_n^{(11)} & f_n^{(12)} \\ f_n^{(21)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we check by induction on $n \geq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{(11)} &= \theta_L^{n-1}(f^{(11)}) \\ f_n^{(12)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \theta_L^k(f^{(12)}) \\ f_n^{(21)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \theta_L^k(f^{(21)}) \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.4) and the fact that $\psi_{\nabla_\varepsilon}(\theta_L) = \varepsilon(R_0^{(p)} - R)$, the vanishing of the p -curvature $\psi_{\nabla}(\theta_L)$ yields that $f^{(11)}$ and that both

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \theta_L^k(f^{(12)}) \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \theta_L^k(f^{(21)})$$

are 0. Using the lemma above, both ω_{12} and ω_{21} are colinear with ω_L and ∇_ε is conjugate to the trivial deformation by some constant automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\varepsilon} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_\varepsilon}$. This is a contradiction and it proves the proposition. $\square$

5. THE GENUS 2 CASE : PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we will assume that $p \geq 5$ (recall that [LP2, Section 6] gives a complete description of $\bar{H}$ in characteristic 3).

In genus 2, $\bar{H}$ has dimension 2 since it is also defined as the residual divisor of K_{X_1} in $V_S^{-1}(K_X)$ (notice that since X is ordinary, the multiplicity of K_{X_1} in $V_S^{-1}(K_X)$ is 1). It immediately follows from the proposition 4.6 that the set $\bar{H}_2$ is finite (it is proper in the complement of an hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^3$). Also, the base locus of Verschiebung is finite and, as a consequence, the set of 1-dimensional fibres of the morphism $\tilde{h} : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \bar{H}$ is finite (see Lemma 3.8). Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ also has dimension 2 and it is locally complete intersection and equidimensional (Proposition 3.18).

5.1. The semi-stable boundary of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ in genus 2. A generic point (E, α) in $\mathcal{H}$ gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F^* \det E \rightarrow F^* E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

Push it forward by Frobenius to obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \det E \otimes F_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E \otimes F_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

and notice that the composite $E \xrightarrow{1_E \otimes F^*} E \otimes F_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_* \mathcal{O}_X$, agrees with α . In other words, there is a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & \det E \otimes F_* \mathcal{O}_X & = & \det E \otimes F_* \mathcal{O}_X & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & E \otimes F_* \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & E \otimes B & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & F_* \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & Q_E & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & 0 & & 0 & \end{array}$$

It follows from Remark 3.3 that if E is a stable bundle in $\bar{H}$ with $(\det E)^2 \neq \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$, then $F^* E$ is split (see also [LP1, Remark 6.2] for another argument involving the hyperelliptic involution). In particular, $\text{Hom}(E, \det E \otimes F_* \mathcal{O}_X)$ is non zero for such a point (hence for all by semi-continuity). Applying the functor $\text{Hom}(E, -)$ to the rightmost column in the diagram above, one finds that $H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes B)$ is non zero for all point of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

Lemma 5.1. *The set Σ_B defined as*

$$\Sigma_B = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{rank 2 semi-stable vector bundles } E \text{ with trivial} \\ \text{determinant over } X_1 \text{ such that } H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes B) \neq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

is compatible with S -equivalence. It defines a closed subset of S_{X_1} whose intersection with K_{X_1} agrees with the support of the divisor on K_{X_1} induced by the totally symmetric divisor $[2]^{-1}(\Theta_B)$ on J_{X_1} .

As sets, there is an inclusion $\bar{H} \subset \Sigma_B$.

Proof. Take a strictly semi-stable vector bundle E with trivial determinant written as an extension $0 \rightarrow M^{-1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where M is a degree 0 line bundle over X_1 . We tensor this exact sequence by $E \otimes B$, $M \otimes B$ and $M^{-1} \otimes B$ successively and take the associated exact sequences of cohomology spaces. Because X is ordinary, $H^0(B) = H^1(B) = 0$ and one finds an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(M^{-2} \otimes B) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes B) \rightarrow H^0(M^2 \otimes B) \rightarrow H^1(M^{-2} \otimes B)$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{E}nd(M \oplus M^{-1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus M^{-2} \oplus M^2$. Because the divisor Θ_B is symmetric, we find that $H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes B) \neq 0$ is and only if M^2 is a point on Θ_B , or equivalently, if $H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(M \oplus M^{-1}) \otimes B) \neq 0$. Because Θ_B is totally symmetric in the sense of Mumford, it descends to a divisor on K_{X_1} with support the set

$$\{[M \oplus M^{-1}] \in K_{X_1} | M^2 \in \Theta_B\}$$

The last assertion just restates the conclusion of the discussion preceding the statement. $\square$

It follows from the Theorem 2.5.(1) that Θ_B is smooth and that the same holds for $\mathcal{H}_B \cong \Theta_B$. The inverse image of Θ_B via the multiplication map $[2] : J_{X_1} \rightarrow J_{X_1}$ is also smooth (and connected). Since the ramification locus of the Kummer map $J_{X_1} \rightarrow K_{X_1}$ is $J_{X_1}[2]$ and since Θ_B does not go through the origin, we find that the divisor in K_{X_1} induced by $[2]^{-1}\Theta_B$ is connected and smooth, hence irreducible, with support $\Sigma_B \cap K_{X_1}$.

Corollary 5.2. *The semi-stable boundary $\bar{H} \cap K_{X_1}$ agrees with $\Sigma_B \cap K_{X_1}$. In particular, it is irreducible*

Proof. We already have the inclusion of sets $\bar{H} \cap K_{X_1} \subset \Sigma_B \cap K_{X_1}$. Conversely, take a line bundle M over X_1 and assume that M^2 lies in Θ_B . Then, the inverse image of M^2 via the canonical surjection $F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow B$ provides with an extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \rightarrow E^{M^2} \rightarrow M^2 \rightarrow 0$ and twisting by M^{-1} , we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^{-1} \rightarrow E^{M^2} \otimes M^{-1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

that is non split as soon as $M^{2p} \neq \mathcal{O}_{X_1}$. By definition, this is a point of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and $[M \oplus M^{-1}]$ lies in $\bar{H}$. $\square$

Proof of the Theorem 1.1. Any irreducible component of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is mapped onto a (irreducible) 2-dimensional closed subscheme in $\bar{H}$. In particular, it necessarily meets the semi-stable boundary K_{X_1} along the 1-dimensional irreducible closed subscheme $\bar{H} \cap K_{X_1}$. The same holds in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

Namely, any irreducible component of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ meets a irreducible component of the (smooth) semi-stable boundary $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{ss}$ and the latter identifies with a degree 16 étale cover of $\mathcal{H}_B \cong \Theta_B$. The composite $\mathcal{H}_B \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{h_{\mathcal{E}}} N_{X_1} \xrightarrow{\det} J_{X_1}$ identifies with the composite $\mathcal{H}_B \xrightarrow{\sim} \Theta_B \subset J_{X_1}$ and it follows from the cartesian diagram (2.1) and the connectedness of the inverse image $[2]^{-1}\Theta_B$ that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{ss}$ is connected, hence irreducible. As a consequence, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is irreducible and so is $\bar{H}$.

Also, if the singular locus of $\bar{H}$ had dimension 1, it would necessarily intersect $\bar{H} \cap K_{X_1}$ and this is a contradiction. Since $\bar{H}$ is complete intersection in S_{X_1} , it is normal. The fact that it has degree $2(p-1)$ is a straightforward consequence of the proposition 4.2 and the equality of divisors follows since $V^{-1}(K_X)$ has degree $4p$. $\square$

5.2. The hyperelliptic involution. In the previous subsection, we used the Remark 3.3 to derive the fact that, for a generic point (E, ξ, α) of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, there is also a point (E, ξ^{-1}, α') in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. More generally, recall that the hyperelliptic involution ι of X_1 changes a degree 0 line bundle over X_1 to its inverse. For any T -point $\mathcal{E}_T \xrightarrow{\alpha_T} F_*\xi_T$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, we define the T -point $(\iota^*(\mathcal{E}_T), \xi_T^{-1}, \iota(\alpha_T))$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ as the composite

$$\iota(\alpha_T) : \iota^*\mathcal{E}_T \xrightarrow{\iota^*\alpha_T} \iota^*(F_*\xi_T) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_*\xi_T^{-1}$$

This defines an involution of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ (still denoted by ι) whose fixed locus consists in the closure of the set of points (E, τ, α) such that $\tau^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and such that α induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow F^*E \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 0$$

We let $[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}]$ denote the quotient of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ under this involution and we notice that $\tilde{h} : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \bar{H}$ (resp. $\tilde{\Phi}_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \text{Bl}_2(J_X)$) induces a morphism $[\tilde{h}] : [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}] \rightarrow \bar{H}$ (resp. $[\tilde{\Phi}_1] : [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}] \rightarrow \text{Bl}_2(K_X)$, where $\text{Bl}_2(K_X)$ denotes the smooth K3-surface obtained as the blowing-up of K_X along its singular locus). It immediately follows from the discussion above and from Lemma 3.8 that the map $[\tilde{h}] : [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}] \rightarrow \bar{H}$ identifies *set-theoretically* with the blowing-up of $\bar{H}$ along the union of the finite sets consisting in the base locus of V on the one hand and in the points of $\bar{H}_2$ on the other hand. The exceptional line above a point in the former set canonically identifies with $X_1/\iota \cong |\omega_{X_1}| \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ whereas the exceptional line above a point in the latter set is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(E, F_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ since it lies in the fixed locus of ι . Since $\bar{H}$ is normal, Zariski's main theorem readily gives the following

Proposition 5.3. *The morphism $[\tilde{h}] : [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}] \rightarrow \bar{H}$ identifies with the blowing-up of $\bar{H}$ along the union the finite sets consisting in the base locus of V on the one hand and in the points of $\bar{H}_2$ on the other hand.*

REFERENCES

- [Du] L. DUCROHET : *The action of the Frobenius map on rank 2 vector bundles over curves in small genus and small characteristic*, to appear at Ann. Institut Fourier, 2009.
- [E] D. EISENBUD : *Commutative Algebra with a view towards algebraic geometry*, 3rd ed., Springer, GTM **150**, 1999.
- [Fu] W. FULTON : *Intersection Theory*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], **2**, Springer, 1984
- [Ha] R. HARTSHORNE : *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, GTM **52**, 1977.
- [Hir] A. HIRCHOWITZ : *Problèmes de Brill-Noether en rang supérieur*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser I **307** (4), 1998, pp 153–156.

- [Jo] K. JOSHI : *Stability and locally exact differentials on a curve*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser I **338**, 2004, 869–872.
- [JRXY] K. JOSHI, S. RAMANAN, E. Z. XIA, J.-K. YU : *On vector bundles destabilized by Frobenius pull-back*, Compositio Math. **142**, 2006, pp 616–630.
- [Ka] N. KATZ : *Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem : Applications of a theorem of Turrittin*, I.H.E.S. Publ. Math. **39**, 1970, 175– 232.
- [LaP] H. LANGE, C. PAULY : *On Frobenius destabilized rank-2 vector bundles over curves*, Comment. Math. Helv. **83** (1), 2008, pp 179–209.
- [LaS] H. LANGE, STUHLER : *Vektorbündel auf Kurven und Darstellungen der algebraischen Fundamentalgruppe*, Math. Z. **156** (1), 1977, pp 73–83.
- [LP1] Y. LASZLO, C. PAULY : *The action of the Frobenius map on rank 2 vector bundles in characteristic 2*, J. of Alg. Geom. **11**, 2002, pp 219–243.
- [LP2] Y. LASZLO, C. PAULY : *The Frobenius map, rank 2 vector bundles and Kummer’s quartic surface in characteristic 2 and 3*, Adv. Math. **185** (2), 2004, 246–269.
- [Mu] D. MUMFORD : *Prym varieties. I.*, Contributions to analysis, pp 325–350, London, New York Academic Press, 1974.
- [NR1] M. S. NARASIMHAN, S. RAMANAN : *Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. **89** (2), 1969, pp 14–51.
- [Oss] B. OSSERMAN : *Frobenius unstable bundles and p -curvature*, Transactions of the AMS **360** (1), 2008, pp 273–305.
- [Ra] M. RAYNAUD : *Sections des fibrés vectoriels sur une courbe*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **110** (1), 1982, 103–125.
- [Sun] X. SUN : *Stability of direct images under Frobenius morphism*, 2006, arXiv:math/0608043 v2.
- [To] J. TONG : *Diviseurs Theta et Formes Différentielles*, PhD thesis (Univ. Paris-Sud, Orsay), 2007.
- [Zh] B. ZHANG : *Revêtements étales abéliens de courbes génériques et ordinarité*, Ann Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. **1** (6), 1992, pp 133–138.
- [SGA1] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*. [Etale coverings and fundamental group (SGA 1)] Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61. [Algebraic Geometry Seminar of Bois Marie 1960–61] Directed by A. GROTHENDIECK. With two papers by M. RAYNAUD. Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original [Lecture Notes in Math., 224, Springer, Berlin]. Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], 3. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003.

CMLS, ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: ducrohet@math.polytechnique.fr